HVN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 541 OF 2005
IN
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 244 OF 2005

The Commissioner of Income Tax
Mumbai city. ... Appellant

Versus

M/s. Goodlass Nerolac Paint Ltd.... Respondent

Mr. A.N. Kotangale with Mr. A.D. Kango i/by Pankaj Kapoor for Appellant.

None for Respondent.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
J.P.DEVADHAR, JJ.
DATED: AUGUST 27, 2007

P.C.:

. According to revenue, the tax incidence would be Rs.2,24,19,024/-. The respondents have been served. No reply has been filed by the respondents opposing averments in support of the motion for condonation of delay. Though there is gross delay, considering the tax incidence and the question of law which has arisen, and the delay in the ministry of law, the cause shown on the peculiar facts of this case would amount to sufficient cause. Hence, delay condoned. Motion made absolute in terms of Prayer Clause (a). Office to register the appeal.

(J.P.DEVADHAR, J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**